



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,309	07/02/2001	Robert B. Odell	P-3946C1C1	1739
32752	7590	02/12/2004	EXAMINER	
HOFFMAN & BARON, LLP 6900 JERICHO TURNPIKE SYOSSET, NY 11791			HUYNH, LOUIS K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	18
DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/897,309

Applicant(s)

ODELL ET AL.

Examiner

Louis K. Huynh

Art Unit

3721

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Louis K. Huynh. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Ludomir Budzyn. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 February 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 19 and 33.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,687,542 and US 4,521,237.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants contends that the reference to Lawecki teaches that the syringe barrel must be sterilized if the process of forming the syringe does not produce enough heat and that the Logothetis reference teaches that glass syringe barrel must be sterilized after annealing; therefore, there must be a step of sterilizing intervening the step of annealing and the step of transferring the syringe barrel into the cleanroom. The examiner disagrees because Lawecki teaches that if the process of forming the syringe barrel produce enough heat then sterilizing is not needed and since the annealing temperature for glass is known to be at least as high as the sterilizing temperature; thus transferring the glass syringe barrel into the cleanroom after annealing would have been an obvious step to a skilled person in the art in applying the teaching of Lawecki.

Applicant further contends that Lawecki does not teaches air-cleaning of any type. The examiner disagrees because the laminar air flow disclosed in the Lawecki does remove particulates from the article being transferred into the cleanroom; hence the step of air-cleaning.